

①

LECTURE 11

Bit of useful notation:

Def: For X r.v., $p \geq 1$, $\|X\|_p := (\mathbb{E}|X|^p)^{1/p}$, $\{X : \|X\|_p < \infty\}$.

Can restate last time's main result as:

Thm: (DMC) If (M_n) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{ngl} \\ \text{sub} \\ \text{super} \end{array} \right\}$, $\|M_n\|_1$ bounded, then $\exists M_\infty \in L^1$ s.t. $M_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} M_\infty$. $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}M_n \rightarrow \mathbb{E}M_\infty$.

Q: When does $M_n \xrightarrow{L^1} M_\infty$, i.e. $\|M_n - M_\infty\|_1 \rightarrow 0$?

Ex: S_n SRW, $T := \{\min n : S_n = a\}$, $M_n := S_{T \wedge n} \rightarrow$ NO.

Ex: $X_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{2} \\ 2 & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$, $M_n := \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow$ NO.

Def: R.v. (Y_i) are uniformly integrable (UI) if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_i \mathbb{E}[|Y_i| \mathbf{1}_{\{|Y_i| \geq t\}}] = 0$.

Rk: (1) Similar flavor to Lindeberg CLT condition.

(2) (Y_i) UI $\Rightarrow \|Y_i\|_1$ bounded.

(3) $|Y_i| \leq Z \in L^1 \Rightarrow (Y_i)$ UI \leftarrow weaker version of being dominated a.s. dom. conv.

Thm: $Y_i \xrightarrow{L^1} Y_\infty \iff \begin{array}{c} Y_i \xrightarrow{P} Y_\infty \\ \uparrow \\ Y_i \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} Y_\infty \end{array}$ and Y_i UI

Dom conv: $\mathbb{E}Y_i \rightarrow \mathbb{E}Y_\infty$ $|Y_i| \leq Z \in L^1$

Cor: (L¹ MC) If (M_n) UI $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{ngl} \\ \text{sub} \\ \text{super} \end{array} \right\}$, then $M_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s., } L^1} M_\infty \in L^1$.

Ex: Product martingale $M_n = \begin{cases} 2^n & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{2^n} \\ 0 & \text{w.p. } 1 - \frac{1}{2^n} \end{cases} \rightarrow \sup_n \mathbb{E}[M_n \mathbf{1}_{\{|M_n| \geq t\}}] = 2^n \cdot \frac{1}{2^n} = 1 \neq 0$.

② An " L^p -ish" way to check UI:

Thm: Suppose $f: \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ has $\frac{f(x)}{x} \rightarrow 0$. If $E f(Y_i)$ bounded, then (Y_i) are UI.

$$\underline{\text{Pf}}: E[|Y_i| \mathbb{1}\{|Y_i| \geq t\}] \leq \underbrace{\sup \left\{ \frac{fx}{f(x)} : x \geq t \right\}}_{\rightarrow 0.} \underbrace{E[f(|Y_i|)]}_{\text{bounded}}$$

Ex: $f(x) = x^p$ for $p > 1 \rightarrow$ enough to have $\|Y_i\|_p$ bdd.
 $f(x) = x \log(1+x)$.

Lem: ($p=2$) (M_n) mgd has $\|M_n\|_2$ bdd $\iff \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E(M_i - M_{i-1})^2 < \infty$

$$\underline{\text{Pf}}: E(M_d - M_c)(M_b - M_a) = 0$$

$$\forall d > c \geq b > a; \text{ use } M_n = M_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n (M_i - M_{i-1}).$$

Maximal inequalities (towards L^p convergence)

Prop: (M_n) sub-mgl, T stopping time $\rightarrow EM_0 \leq EM_{T \wedge n} \leq EM_n$.

Pf: $H_n := \mathbb{1}\{T \leq n\} \rightarrow (H \cdot M)$ submgd, $(H \cdot M)_n = M_n - M_{T \wedge n}$
 $(H \cdot M)_0 = 0$.

Thm: (Doob maximal inequality) (M_n) submgd, $t > 0$, $\text{MAX}_n := \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} M_k$

$$\blacksquare \quad \mathbb{P}[\text{MAX}_n \geq t] \leq \frac{E[M_n^+ \mathbb{1}\{\text{MAX}_n \geq t\}]}{t} \leq \frac{EM_n^+}{t} \quad \text{"uniform Martingale"}$$

Pf: $T := \min\{n : M_n \geq t\}$. Prop $\Rightarrow EM_{T \wedge n} \leq EM_n$.

$$A := \{\text{MAX}_n \geq t\} = \{T \leq n\}$$

$$\rightarrow \underbrace{EM_{T \wedge n} \mathbb{1}\{A\}}_{\geq t \mathbb{P}[A]} + \cancel{EM_{T \wedge n} \mathbb{1}\{A^c\}} \leq EM_n \mathbb{1}\{A\} + \cancel{EM_n \mathbb{1}\{A^c\}}$$

③ App 1: X_i ind., $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \rightarrow M_n := \exp(\lambda S_n)$ submgf.
 $\mathbb{E}X_i = 0$

$$\rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{k=1}^n S_k \geq t\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[\max_{k=1}^n \exp(\lambda S_k) \geq \exp(\lambda t)\right] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E} \exp(\lambda S_n)}{\exp(\lambda t)}$$

\Rightarrow Hoeffding-type inequality automatically true for maximum!

Thm: (L^p maximal mea) (M_n) submgf, $M_n \geq 0$, $p > 1$
 $\Rightarrow \|\text{MAX}_n\|_p \leq \frac{p}{p-1} \|M_n\|_p$.

Cor: (L^p MC) (M_n) mgf, $p > 1$, $\|M_n\|_p$ bounded, then
 $M_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s., } L^p} M_\infty \in L^p$. !

Pf: $\|\text{MAX}_n\|_1 \leq \|M_n\|_p$ bdd \rightarrow by DMC, $M_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} M_\infty \in L^1$.

$|M_n|$ submgf. L^p maximal $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}(\max_{k=0}^n |M_k|)^p \leq \|M_n\|_p^p$ bounded.

Monotone conv $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \sup_n |M_n|^p < \infty$.

$\|M_n - M_\infty\|^p = (\mathbb{E} \sup_n |M_n|)^p \in L^1$. Dom. conv. $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \|M_n - M_\infty\|^p \rightarrow 0$

Pf: (of Thm) Threshold at some $C > 0$.

$$\mathbb{E}(\text{MAX}_n \wedge C)^p = \mathbb{E}\left[p \int_0^{\text{MAX}_n \wedge C} t^{p-1} dt\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[p \int_0^\infty t^{p-1} \mathbb{1}_{\{\text{MAX}_n \wedge C \geq t\}} dt\right]$$

$$(\text{Fabri}) = \int_0^\infty p t^{p-1} \mathbb{P}[\text{MAX}_n \wedge C \geq t] dt = \int_0^C p t^{p-1} \mathbb{P}[\text{MAX}_n \geq t] dt$$

$$(\text{Doob maximal}) \leq \int_0^C p t^{p-2} \mathbb{E}[M_n \mathbb{1}_{\{\text{MAX}_n \geq t\}}] dt$$

$$= \int_0^\infty p t^{p-2} \mathbb{E}[M_n \mathbb{1}_{\{\text{MAX}_n \geq t\}}] dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad & \stackrel{(Fubini)}{=} E \left[M_n \cdot p \cdot \int_0^\infty t^{p-2} \mathbb{1}_{\{\text{MAX}_n \wedge C \geq t\}} dt \right] \\
 &= E \left[M_n \cdot p \cdot \int_0^{\text{MAX}_n \wedge C} t^{p-2} dt \right] \\
 &= E \left[M_n \cdot p \cdot \frac{1}{p-1} (\text{MAX}_n \wedge C)^{p-1} \right] \\
 &\stackrel{(\text{Hölder})}{\leq} \frac{p}{p-1} \|M_n\|_p \left(E[(\text{MAX}_n \wedge C)^{p-1}] \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Rearrange, take $C \uparrow \infty$.

Applications:

Thm: (Khinchine 2 series) X_i ind. $\in L^2$ s.t. $\sum |EX_i|, \sum \text{Var } X_i < \infty$.
~~then $\sum X_i$ converges a.s. and in L^2 .~~ $\Rightarrow \sum X_i$ converges a.s. and in L^2 .

Pf: WLOG $EX_i = 0$. Then, $S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is mgd bdd. in L^2
 \rightsquigarrow follows by L² MC.

Ex: $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pm \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}}$ converges a.s.

Ex: $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_n}{\sqrt{n!}} z^n = f(z)$ for $g_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, 1)$
 well-defined, Gaussian analytic fn.

L^p MC \Rightarrow converges in L^p for all p .

Justifies computing moments $\Rightarrow \text{Law}(f(z)) = N(0, \exp(z^2))$, etc.

Rmk: Appl to RW, but
 the proof uses martingale
 theory via L^p maximal
 ineq. on $|S_n|$.